



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/857,600	9/10/01	Adriaansen	016782-0230

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXAMINER	
J. Gray	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1774	14

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Examiner J. Gray (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Mr. Cosenza (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 11/25/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: Takazawa et al, 4,774,105 and Creps 4,358,887

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants argued that

Takazawa does not teach a <sup>bright</sup> surface or transparent polymer and Creps teaches a chrome compound directly on the <sup>metallic coating</sup> wire surface and does not teach a drawn wire, and that the combination of references ~~the art~~ would change the principal of operation of Takazawa.

The Examiner will consider all arguments raised upon submission of a response by Applicant and agrees that the limitations of "drawn wire" in claim 25 may overcome the Creps reference. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 1-96)

\*However the Examiner presently remains unpersuaded as to the allowability of the claims.

Jill H. Gray